

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,405	07/03/2003	Faith M. Oi	FLG-023DIV	4475
23717	7590 01/28/2005		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER			VALENTI, ANDREA M	
101 BREVARD AVENUE COCOA, FL 32922			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ		•	3643	
			DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

			. /Y
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
10/613,405		OI ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [2 b) [
have be 37 CFF (b) abov	sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) L they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Newly proposed claims 65-67 would require further consideration and/or search.
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.🛛	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>43-46,48,56 and 59-64</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: 49,58-60 and 64.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-46, 48, 50, 51, 53-56, 61-63</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	MICHAEL J. CAHONE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)